

**FAMILY  
RESEARCH  
CENTER**

**GRADUATE SCHOOL OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR**

**DIRECTOR: EDNA J. HUNTER**

**LEVEL II**



*SK*  
*14/73*

**FAMILY ADJUSTMENT TO GEOGRAPHIC MOBILITY  
MILITARY FAMILIES ON THE MOVE**

EDNA J. HUNTER  
RON SHELDON

REPORT No. TR-USIU-81-06 ✓  
1981.

**DTIC  
ELECTE**  
**S** SEP 21 1981 **D**  
**E**

**DTIC FILE COPY**

This document has been approved  
for public release and sale; its  
distribution is unlimited.

*81 9 21 014 412487*



**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY**

10455 POMERADO ROAD, SAN DIEGO, CA. 92131 (714) 271-4300 EXT. 291

AD A104426

FAMILY ADJUSTMENT TO GEOGRAPHIC MOBILITY

Military Families on the Move

EDNA J. HUNTER  
RON SHELDON\*

USIU-TR-81-06

1981

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

\* Dr. Edna J. Hunter is currently Director, Family Research Center, United States International University, San Diego CA 92131; Ron Sheldon serves as Research Assistant at the Center. This project was funded jointly by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research under MIRP 79-0042, dtd. 4-18-79, and the organizational Effectiveness Research Program, Office of Naval Research (Code 452), Department of the Navy, under Work Order Request Number N00014-79-C-0519, NR 179-888. None of the opinions and assertions contained herein are to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Navy or the Department of the Air Force.

## INTRODUCTION

The military family has a unique mobile lifestyle, unmatched by any other population group. The following review of the literature on geographic mobility points to both positive and negative aspects of mobility for the military family unit. The authors were able to find but one study performed in the 1940s; six studies were reported in the 1960s, and ten investigations were carried out in the 1970s. Thus, it would appear that mobility for military families, although an important stressor, has been given minimal attention by researchers.

Of the sixteen studies reviewed, the majority emphasized the negative aspects of geographic mobility rather than the more favorable attributes of mobility. Major areas covered were: mobility and its effects upon children, mobility and the military wife, mobility in relation to marital and family adjustment, and support systems for the mobile military family. Of great importance and concern in any review of mobility in the military are the related topics of father absence and transcultural experiences.

## MOBILITY AND ITS EFFECTS ON CHILDREN

Children in military families experience a unique developmental process that is applicable only to that population. In addition to mastering the normal developmental tasks, the military child experiences added stress due to geographic mobility, transcultural experiences, transient father absences, and early retirement of the father. According to Shaw and colleagues (Shaw, Duffy, & Privitera, 1978), geographic mobility for the preschool child (that is, birth to six years of age) is not particularly disruptive. If the family is able to provide a supporting emotional framework in which the child can develop, there may be no emotional disturbances, in fact.

Military children live from Spain to Turkey and from Ethiopia to Norway. Nonetheless, one study conducted in the mid-1960s indicated that school and mental health services were lacking for the 130,000 mobile military children in Europe. Personnel workers such as nurses, counselors, psychologists and speech therapists were in short supply (Bower, 1967). Also teachers in the European communities indicated they lacked contact with professionals and federal agencies in the United States which could have enhanced their teaching methods. A dispensary physician was the only person available to help emotionally troubled children and youth. Professional help and community resources should be available, according to Bower, to the mobile military family, just as they are for military families in the continental United States, since children overseas or in in foreign cultures have even greater needs.

Baggett (1979) found that military children and adolescents have difficulty adjusting to geographic mobility. Moving overseas places added demands on a child, and he may react in diverse ways. He may withdraw, or, conversely, he may become aggressive, fighting with other children. Or, he may grieve upon losing his familiar neighborhood and peer groups, just as though someone who was very close to him had died. A number of suggestions have been made to help the military child adjust to mobility, such as:

- . Be sure the child is told he is leaving the United States for a foreign country to prepare him.
- . Let the child complain or grieve about moving or having been relocated.
- . Unpack belongings and make a home quickly in the foreign country.
- . Parents should themselves be accepting of the move and emphasize the importance of family unity during the transition.

Another study of the 1960s (Gabower, 1960), showed that military children's disruptive behavior was not a consequence of moving per se, but a reflection of parental attitudes directed towards the child. Parents of children with behavior problems were

less active in helping the child prepare to move, in helping the child find new friends, assisting the child in joining activities, helping the child keep in contact with the father who was absent, and searching out psychiatric help for the child and family members (Gabower, 1960).

A similar study found parental attitudes to be a significant factor in the mobile child's ability to adjust (Pederson & Sullivan, 1964). The comparative histories of 30 "normal" military children and 27 disturbed military children from the Child Psychiatry Service, Walter Reed General Hospital, showed a significant difference between the two groups in relation to parental attitudes on two variables: (1) the acceptability of mobility, and (2) identification with the military. Mothers of normal children scored higher on both variables than mothers of disturbed children.

Disruption of social and emotional continuity may cause the mobile military children to develop more behavior problems than non-military children. A study conducted at the Child Guidance Clinic in the San Diego area indicated that sixty-three percent of the military children, opposed to thirty-six percent of non-military children, had behavior disorders (Kurlander, Leukel, Palevsky, & Kohn, 1961).

On the other side of the coin, military children tend to develop an expectation of mobility in a lifestyle in which this is a norm. The child over time comes to terms with his mobile situation and adapts to it. Children also have little difficulty in adjusting to father absence. The authoritarian orientation of the military household is reflected in the child's behavior. Also, the military child typically has a secure socio-emotional existence. Factors providing security for the child include a stable, close family circle. Lyon and Oldaker (1967) provided psychological services for two years to military dependents and found that the military lifestyle is marked by homogeneity of the group. Of 1,200 first- to sixth-graders enrolled in a military elementary school, they discovered no serious psychological maladjustments.

There are also positive aspects of mobility. Internationally mobile military children benefit socially and educationally when in another culture. They develop valuable skills, according to Rainey (1978), such as public relations and language acquisition. Increased proficiency in these social and educational skills are the result of answering phones, conducting public activities, obtaining food and lodging when the family is traveling, translating business letters, and entertaining the host countries' family members during social activities. Of course, there is also the opportunity for learning a foreign language, which might not be so readily available in the United States.

#### MOBILITY AND THE MILITARY WIFE

The military family, and especially the wife/mother, is susceptible to the potential stresses of mobility which are inherent in the military lifestyle. Wives of military personnel often feel alienated as a result of mobility and even develop personal, marital, and parent-child relationship problems. Based on a random sample of 200 Army wives, McKain (1973) addressed the problem of alienation in wives who exhibited poor identification with the military and failed to integrate into the social life around them. Typically mobility was perceived by these alienated wives as a negative and disruptive experience for them and their families. Conversely, McKain found that the wives who were not alienated adapted to the frequent moves, and involved themselves with new friends and utilized community support systems more readily than did alienated wives.

Developing a career is fraught with difficulties for military wives, who often have difficulty in obtaining suitable employment (Finlayson, 1969). Although service wives can avail themselves of many volunteer opportunities on base, they are at a decided disadvantage in securing meaningful employment. Due to frequent relocations, the military wife loses out on benefits, salary increases, and seniority on the job. Also, lack of uniformity in

state licensing and certification requirements necessitate frequent requalifying for employment. Moreover, employers tend to discriminate against these wives due to their highly mobile lifestyle. In past years the military community expected the officer's wife, especially, to be a volunteer, not an employed individual, but this situation is rapidly changing, and she is no longer as willing to pack up and move each time her husband receives orders.

#### MOBILITY IN RELATION TO MARITAL AND FAMILY ADJUSTMENT

Approximately one-third of any particular military base changes its personnel every year. Overall, however, satisfaction with the mobile military lifestyle does not seem to be particularly troublesome for couples in their early thirties, according to Wilson (1977) although it may become so as children grow older. In fact, in regard to marriages, they report high marital satisfaction, but less satisfaction with friendships since couples experience difficulties maintaining ongoing friendships due to frequent moves. However, the mobile military man was found to be satisfied with his career; moving usually means one more step up the success ladder.

Other investigators have focused specifically on the problems engendered by mobility, pointing out that the military family's economic security is undermined by mobility (Marsh, 1970). Often moving costs are greater than the allowances for moving; thus, families must borrow to cover the additional costs. At times there is a delay in monthly pay due to the loss of finance records during transfers. Frequently the family is separated temporarily during a move, causing the family to incur additional expense in supporting two households. Family characteristics, such as number of children, children's ages, and family resources have been cited as the major determinants of the severity of problems resulting from family disruptions during moves. Sometimes if a military family wants to join the husband they must pay their own expenses (Condra & Barnard, 1978).

Moving has been viewed as a family disruption which increases feelings of anomie (McKain, 1976), and the family which is most likely to experience the largest amount of family problems as a consequence of moving is the family unit in which the wife/mother feels alienated from society and the military community.

#### SUPPORT SYSTEMS AND THE MOBILE MILITARY FAMILY

A review of past research shows that the Red Cross assisted military families experiencing mobility (Daniels, 1947). At the end of World War II, that organization actually participated in helping to transport 60,000 British women, married to U.S. military men to the United States. The problems these war brides experienced generally fell into three categories: (1) problems arising out of the military setup in which they found themselves, and (2) problems arising out of the personal and family relationship, and (3) fear of leaving home, country, and facing new social adjustments in an unfamiliar culture.

The Department of Defense (1977) recognizes that moving day, and the days leading up to the move, can be the most disruptive period in the life of a military family. Unfortunately, even where supports are offered, mobility often makes for discontinuities. For example, children seen in military psychiatric clinics sometimes are unable to complete therapy because the family must move or (White, 1976). Parents sometimes postpone treatments for physical or psychological problems of family members immediately prior to a transfer or reassignment. Thus White (1976) concluded that long-term therapy was not advisable for the mobile military family, and that short-term crisis therapy was the ideal psychotherapeutic modality for treatment of military children.

#### CONCLUSION

In summary, there are mixed reports on the disadvantages and advantages of mobility for the military family. Positive aspects



of mobility are obtaining cultural experiences in conjunction with educational knowledge. The family unit, in many instances, becomes more unified, and with each move the serviceperson advances in his/her career. On the negative side, the effects of mobility on the military family are that children and adolescents may not be able to adapt quickly to the strange new environment. Limited educational services, limited community resources, less occupational opportunities for the spouse, separation of the family during the transfers, limited monetary funds during the moving process, and the family's having to adapt to frequent moving of household items, and adjusting to a new social/cultural environment, make it difficult for the mobile military family.

Measures to insure a less stressful mobile military life would be to provide sufficient financial assistance to cover moving expenses, to have available support systems which include psychological and educational services both Stateside and abroad, better employment opportunities for spouses overseas, allow more families to accompany their serviceperson spouse overseas, and, of course, to eliminate unnecessary moves. Since mobile military serviceperson are performing an immeasurable service to their nation, and their families are part of that commitment, the military organization, it would seem, has a responsibility to provide the necessary socio-emotional and economic resources during their compulsory transiency.

## REFERENCES

- BAGGETT, N. Going overseas: it's not just another move for your child. The Times Magazine, February 5, 1979, pp. 7-10.
- BOWER, E. American children and families in overseas communities. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1967, 37, 787-796.
- CONDRA, L. & BARNARD, R. Tagging along. The Times Magazine, February 20, 1978, pp. 16; 18.
- DANIELS, R. I. Adapting casework to the needs of war brides in England. Social Casework, 1947, 28, 243-249.
- DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE. It's your move. (Stock No. 008-047-00210-6). Washington DC: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1977.
- FINLAYSON, E. A study of the wife of the Army officer: her academic and career preparation, her current employment, and volunteer services. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, George Washington University, 1969. Revised version appears in H. McCubbin, B. Dahl & E. Hunter (Eds.), Families in the military system. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1976.
- GABOWER, G. Behavior problems of children in Navy officers' families. Social Casework, 1960, 41, 177-184.
- KURLANDER, L., LEUKEL, D., PALEVSKY, L., & KOHN, F. Migration; some psychological effects on children, a pilot study. paper presented at the American orthopsychiatric Association Annual meeting, New York, March 1961.
- LYON, W., & OLDAKER, L. The child, the school, and the military family. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1967, 37, 269-270.
- MARSH, R. Family disruption during the moving process. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Brandeis University, 1970.
- McKAIN, J. Relocation in the military: alienation and family problems. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1973, 35, 205-209.
- McKAIN, J. L. Alienation; a function of geographical mobility among families. In McCubbin, B. Dahl & E. Hunter (Eds.), Families in the military system. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1976.
- PEDERSEN, F., & SULLIVAN, E. Relationships among geographical mobility, parental attitudes, and emotional disturbances in children. American journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1964, 34, 575-580.
- RAINEY, M. C. Language learning of internationally mobile military youth: some third culture comparisons. In E. Hunter & D. Nice (Eds.), Children of military families; a part and yet apart, Cat. NO. 008-040-00181-4. Washington DC: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government printing Office, 1978.

SHAW, J.A., DUFFY, J.C., & PRIVITERA, C.R. The military child; a developmental perspective. In E. Hunter & D. Nice (Eds.), Children of military families: a part and yet apart, Cat. No. 008-040-00181-4. Washington DC: u.S. Government Printing Office, 1978.

WHITE, J. H. Analysis of first-year referrals to military child psychiatry clinic. U.S. Navy Medicine, 1976, 67, 18-21.

WILSON, W.L. Life satisfaction in mobile and non-mobile adults in the early 30s. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Providence. R.I.: University of Rhode Island, 1977.

## DISTRIBUTION

Defense Documentation Center  
ATTN: DDC-TC  
Accessions Division  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria VA 22314

Library of Congress  
Science and Technology Division  
Washington DC 20540

Chief of Naval Research  
Office of Naval Research  
Code 452  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington VA 22217

Commanding Officer  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington DC 20375

Psychologist  
ONR Branch Office  
1030 E. Green Street  
Pasadena CA 91106

Psychologist  
ONR Branch Office  
536 S. Clark Street  
Chicago IL 60605

Psychologist  
ONR Branch Office  
Bldg. 114, Section D.  
666 Summer Street  
Boston MA 02210

Office of Naval Research  
Director, Technology Programs  
Code 200  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington VA 2217

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations  
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)  
Scientific Advisor to DCNO (Op-OLT)  
2705 Arlington Annex  
Washington DC 20350

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations  
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)  
Head, Research, Development, and  
Studies Branch (Op-102)  
1812 Arlington Annex  
Washington DC 20350

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations  
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)  
Director, Human Resource Management  
Plans and Policy Branch (Op-150)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington DC 20350

Naval Material Command  
Management Training Center  
NMAT 09M32  
Jefferson Plaza, Bldg #2, Rm 150  
1421 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Arlington VA 20360

Commanding Officer  
Naval Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego CA 92152

Navy Personnel R&D Center  
Washington Liaison Office  
Building 200, 2N  
Washington Navy Yard  
Washington DC 20374

Commanding Officer  
Naval Health Research Center  
San Diego CA 92138

Commanding Officer  
Naval Submarine Medical Research  
Laboratory  
Naval Submarine Base  
New London, Box 900  
Groton CT 06340

Director, Medical Service Corps  
Bureau of Medicine and Surgery  
Code 23  
Department of the Navy  
Washington DC 20372

(Distribution, p. 2)

Naval Aerospace Medical  
Research Laboratory  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola FL 32508

CDR Robert Kennedy  
Officer in Charge  
Naval Aerospace Medical Research  
Laboratory Detachment  
Box 2940, Michoud Station  
New Orleans LA 70129

National Naval Medical Center  
Psychology Department  
Bethesda MD 20014

Commanding Officer  
Navy Medical R&D Command  
Bethesda MD 20014

Superintendent  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Code 1424  
Monterey CA 93940

Commanding Officer  
Human Resource Management Center  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington VA 22209

Naval Military Personnel Command  
HRM Department (NMPC-6)  
Washington DC 20350

Naval Training Analysis  
and Evaluation Group  
Orlando FL 32813

Chief of Naval Education  
and Training (N-5)  
ACOS Research and Program  
Development  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola FL 32508

Naval War College  
Management Department  
Newport RI 02940

Chief of Naval Technical Training  
ATTN: Dr. Norman Kerr, Code (0161)  
NAS Memphis (75)  
Millington TN 38054

Navy Recruiting Command  
Head, Research and Analysis Branch  
Code 434, Room 8001  
801 North Randolph Street  
Arlington VA 22203

Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Code MPI-20  
Washington DC 20380

National Institute of Mental Health  
Minority Group Mental Health Programs  
Room 7 - 102  
5600 Fishers Lane  
Rockville MD 20852

Office of Personnel Management  
Organizational Psychology Branch  
1900 E Street NW  
Washington DC 20415

Chief, Psychological Research Branch  
ATTN: Mr. Richard Lanterman  
U.S. Coast Guard (G-P-1/2/62)  
Washington DC 20590

Technical Director  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria VA 22333

Air University Library/LSE 76-443  
Maxwell AFB AL 36112

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
Air War College/EDRL  
Attn: Lt Col James D. Young  
Maxwell AFB AL 36112

AFOSR/NL (Dr. Fregly)  
Building 410  
Bolling AFB  
Washington DC 20332

(Distribution, p. 3)

Technical Director  
AFHRL/ORS  
Brooks AFB  
San Antonio TX 78235

Dr. Clayton P. Alderfer  
School of Organization  
and Management  
Yale University  
New Haven CT 06520

Dr. Stuart W. Cook  
University of Colorado  
Institute of Behavioral Science  
Boulder CA 80309

Westinghouse National Issues  
Center  
Suite 1111  
2341 Jefferson Davis Highway  
Arlington VA 22202

Dr. Larry Cummings  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Graduate School of Business  
Center for the Study of  
Organizational Performance  
1155 Observatory Drive  
Madison WI 53706

Dr. Kathleen P. Durning  
Navy Personnel Research and  
Development Center  
San Diego CA 92152

Dr. John P. French, Jr.  
University of Michigan  
Institute for Social Research  
P.O. Box 1248  
Ann Arbor MI 48106

Dr. Paul S. Goodman  
Graduate School of Industrial  
Administration  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Pittsburgh PA 15213

Dr. J. Richard Hackman  
School of Organization  
and Management  
Yale University  
56 Hillhouse Avenue  
New Haven CT 06520

Dr. Asa G. Hilliard, Jr.  
The Urban Institute for Human Services,  
Inc.  
P.O. Box 15068  
San Francisco CA 94115

Mr. Lonnie Krogstad  
U.S. Army Soldier Support Center  
ATTN: CD-HDD  
Ft. Benjamin Harrison IN 46216

Dr. Marilyn Walsh  
Battelle Human Affairs  
Research Centers  
P.O. Box 5395  
4000 N.E., 41st Street  
Seattle WA 98105

Dr. Edwin A. Locke  
University of Maryland  
College of Business and Management  
and Department of Psychology  
College Park MD 20742

Dr. D. S. Nice  
Naval Health Research Center  
P. O. Box 85122  
San Diego CA 92138

Dr. Ann O'Keefe  
Navy Family Program  
CNO (Code OP-152)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington DC 20350

Dr. Dennis Orthner  
Family Resesarch & Analysis, Inc.  
3700 Chadford Place  
Greensboro NC 27410

Dr. Thomas M. Ostrom  
The Ohio State University  
Department of Psychology  
116E Stadium  
404C West 17th Avenue  
Columbus OH 43210

Dr. George E. Rowland  
Temple University, The Merit Center  
Ritter Annex, 9th Floor  
College of Education  
Philadelphia PA 19122

(Distribution, p. 4)

Dr. Irwin G. Sarason  
University of Washington  
Department of Psychology  
Seattle WA 98195

Dr. Benjamin Schneider  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing MI 48824

Dr. Saul B. Sells  
Texas Christian University  
Institute of Behavioral Research  
Drawer C  
Fort Worth TX 76129

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko  
Program Director, Manpower Research  
and Advisory Services  
Smithsonian Institution  
801 N. Pitt Street, Suite 120  
Alexandria VA 22314

Dr. Richard Steers  
Graduate School of Management  
and Business  
University of Oregon  
Eugene OR 97403

Dr. James R. Terborg  
University of Houston  
Department of Psychology  
Houston TX 77004

Dr. Philip G. Zimbardo  
Stanford University  
Department of Psychology  
Stanford CA 94305

Military Family Resource Center  
Suite 900, 6501 Loisdale Road  
Springfield VA 22150

COL John W. Williams, USAF  
DFBL/USAFA  
CO 80840

UNCLASSIFIED  
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER USIU-81-06	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A104	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 426
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) FAMILY ADJUSTMENT TO GEOGRAPHIC MOBILITY: Military Families on the Move	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim report no. 69	
7. AUTHOR(s)	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS FAMILY RESEARCH CENTER UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY 10455 Pomerado Road, San Diego CA 92131	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) MIRP 79-0042 N00014-79-C-0519, AD P1-77-0042	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS RESEARCH PROGRAM OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (CODE 452) Arlington VA 22217	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 179-888	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12. REPORT DATE 11 August 1981	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	
15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 10 Edna J. Hunter Ron / Sheldon		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This report is the sixth in a series of reports which review the status of military family research literature, and are based upon <u>The Literature on Military Families, 1980: An Annotated Bibliography</u> , USAFA-TR-80-11, DTIC#AD-A093-811, edited by E.J. Hunter, D. denDulk, & J.W. Williams, 1980.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) military families mobility family adjustment		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) There is a paucity of research focusing on mobility and the military family. What does exist emphasizes primarily the negative aspects of moving about with the active duty service member, although research findings also point to positive results of mobility; such as the opportunity for cultural experiences, language learning, and increased family unity.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

412489

46